1	STATE OF OKLAHOMA
2	2nd Session of the 54th Legislature (2014)
3	SENATE BILL 1846 By: Treat
4	
5	
6	AS INTRODUCED
7	An Act relating to the Oklahoma Religious Freedom
8	Act; stating legislative findings; stating purposes of act; amending 51 O.S. 2011, Sections 252 and 253,
9	which relate to definitions and burden upon free exercise of religion; modifying definitions;
10	authorizing certain action; authorizing certain relief; providing for codification; and providing an effective date.
11	effective date.
12	
13	BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA:
14	SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
15	in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 251.1 of Title 51, unless there
16	is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
17	A. The Legislature finds that:
18	1. The framers of the United States Constitution, recognizing
19	free exercise of religion as an unalienable right, secured its
20	protection in the First Amendment to the Constitution;
21	2. The framers of the Oklahoma Constitution, also recognizing
22	free exercise of religion as an unalienable right, secured its
23	protection in the Oklahoma Constitution;

3. Laws and other state action "neutral" toward religion may burden exercise of religion as surely as laws intended to interfere with religious exercise;

- 4. State action should not burden exercise of religion without compelling justification;
- 5. Prior to 1990, laws and other state action burdening exercise of religion had to be justified by compelling justification;
- 6. In Employment Division v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990), the Supreme Court significantly curtailed the requirement that laws and other state action burdening the free exercise of religion be justified by compelling justification;
- 7. Gonzales v. O Centro Espirita Beneficente Uniao do Vegetal, 546 U.S. 418 (2006), indicates that legislative bodies may enact statutory law for their jurisdictions requiring that laws and other state action burdening the free exercise of religion be justified by a compelling governmental interest. Many states have done so, passing laws similar to this Act; and
- 8. The compelling interest test set forth in prior federal court rulings and this act is a workable test for striking sensible balances between religious liberty and competing governmental interests.
  - B. The purposes of this Act are to:

- 1. Restore the compelling interest test and to guarantee its application in all cases where the exercise of religion is burdened by state action; and
- 2. Provide a claim or defense to a person or persons whose exercise of religion is burdened by state action.
- 6 SECTION 2. AMENDATORY 51 O.S. 2011, Section 252, is 7 amended to read as follows:

8 Section 252. In this act:

- 1. "Demonstrates" means the burdens of going forward with the evidence and of persuasion under the standard of clear and convincing evidence are met;
- 2. "Exercise of religion" means the <u>practice</u>, observance and exercise of religion under Article 1, Section 2, of the Constitution of the State of Oklahoma, the Oklahoma Religious Freedom Act, and the First Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. It includes, but is not limited to, the ability to act or refuse to act in a manner substantially motivated by one's sincerely held religious belief, whether or not the exercise is compulsory or central to a larger system of religious belief;
- 3. "Fraudulent claim" means a claim that is dishonest in fact or that is made principally for a patently improper purpose, such as to harass the opposing party;
- 4. "Frivolous claim" means a claim which lacks merit under existing law and which cannot be supported by a good faith argument

1 for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the 2 establishment of new law;

- 5. "Governmental entity" means any branch, department, agency, or instrumentality of state government, or any official or other person acting under color of state law, or any political subdivision of this state;
- 6. "Prevails" means to obtain prevailing party status as defined by courts construing the federal Civil Rights Attorney's Fees Awards Act of 1976, 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and
- 7. "Substantially burden" means to inhibit or curtail

  religiously motivated practice directly or indirectly constrain,

  inhibit, curtail or deny the exercise of religion by any person or

  compel any action contrary to a person's exercise of religion and

  includes, but is not limited to, withholding benefits, assessing

  criminal, civil or administrative penalties or excluding from

  governmental programs or access to governmental facilities.

  SECTION 3. AMENDATORY 51 O.S. 2011, Section 253, is

  amended to read as follows:
- Section 253. A. Except as provided in subsection B of this
  section, no governmental entity shall substantially burden a
  person's free exercise of religion even if the burden results from a
  rule of general applicability.

B. No governmental entity shall substantially burden a person's free exercise of religion unless it demonstrates that application of the burden to the person is:

- 1. Essential to further a compelling governmental interest; and
- 2. The least restrictive means of furthering that compelling governmental interest.
- C. A person whose exercise of religion has been substantially burdened, or is likely to be substantially burdened, in violation of this act may assert such violation or impending violation as a claim or defense in a judicial proceeding, regardless of whether the state or a political subdivision is a party to the proceeding. The person asserting such a claim or defense may obtain appropriate relief, including relief against the state or its political subdivisions.

  Appropriate relief includes, but is not limited to, injunctive or declaratory relief, compensatory damages and attorney fees and costs.
- SECTION 4. This act shall become effective November 1, 2014.

19 54-2-2565 TEK 1/16/2014 3:13:37 PM